

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 1617

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

: 10/691,528

Applicant

: Olaf Wilhelm

Filed

: October 24, 2003

TC/A.U.

: 1617

Examiner

: Abigail Manda Cotton

Docket No.

: 2923-576

Customer No.: 6449

Confirmation No. : 7396

RESPONSE

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

January 9, 2007

Sir:

In the Office Action dated July 13, 2006, claims 1-19, in the aboveidentified U.S. patent application were rejected. Reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks. Claims 1-19 remain in this application.

Claims 1-3, 5, 8-12, 15 and 18-19 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over Xing in view of Pentapharm 1998 or Pentapharm 1997. The Pentapharm catalogs clearly indicate that Pefabloc series compounds are for "research, analytical applications and purification processes" at page 17 of the 1997 catalog and at page 18 of the 1998 catalog. Though Xing discloses that a urokinase inhibitor (B-428) was useful in treating breast cancer, one skilled in the

P.S. 3/14/07 entered w/RCE 3/6/07